IDAHO MEDICAL ASSOCIATION HOUSE OF DELEGATES

Report of REFERENCE COMMITTEE A

Presented by: Brandon Mickelsen, DO, Chair, Pocatello

1	Mr. Speaker and Members of the House of Delegates:			
2	······································			
3	Reference Committee A considered its agenda of resolutions and reports, and submits			
4	the following report:			
5 6	1.) ADM IV – REPORT OF THE TREASURER AND MEMBERSHIP			
7				
8	RECOMMENDATION:			
9 10	Mr. Speaker, your Reference Committee recommends that ADM IV be adopted.			
11	Mr. Speaker, your Reference Committee recommends that ADM W be adopted.			
12	ADM IV, Report of the Treasurer and Membership presents the 2022 Financial Audit of			
13	the Idaho Medical Association (IMA) and contains a recommendation that the IMA			
14 15	membership dues remain unchanged for the 2025 membership year.			
15 16	2.) RESOLUTION 106 (23) – SUPPORT OF TAX CREDITS FOR GUN SAFES			
17 18 19 20 21 22				
	RECOMMENDATION:			
	Mr. Speaker, your Reference Committee recommends that Resolution 106 (23) <u>be</u> adopted as originally written.			
	adopted as originally written.			
23	RESOLVED, Idaho Medical Association adopt policy supporting the			
24	establishment of a state tax credit for the purchase of a gun safe			
25	to incentivize safe gun storage and save lives.			
26 27	The Committee heard favorable testimony from delegates about this unique approach			
28	to gun safety. This proposal seeks to increase protection for individuals and families,			
29	while not infringing upon the rights of firearm owners.			
30				
31	The Committee heard testimony in support of RES 106 from the Idaho Chapter of the			
32 33	American Academy of Pediatrics, which emphasized the positive effects on child safety from unintentional firearm discharge that disproportionately impacts children.			
34	The Idaho Psychiatric Association also testified in support, referencing data showing			
35	there is a decrease in rates of suicide when there is an increased amount of time that			
36	passes between suicidal ideation and action.			
37	Considering this and other strengly supportive testimony, your Deference Committee			
 Considering this and other strongly supportive testimony, your Reference Co recommends adoption of RES 106. 				
00				

3.) RESOLUTION 107 (23) - NO CONTRIBUTIONS TO POLITICIANS 1 2 CRIMINALIZING MEDICAL CARE 3 4 **RECOMMENDATION:** 5 6 Mr. Speaker, your Reference Committee recommends that Resolution 107 (23) not be 7 adopted. 8 9 RESOLVED, Idaho Medical Association will advise Idaho Medical Political 10 Action Committee to not provide financial contributions or other 11 support to any politician in the year following one in which they 12 voted in support of legislation that would cause civil penalties or 13 criminalization for providing an evidence-based medical practice; 14 and be it further 15 RESOLVED, Idaho Medical Association will advise Idaho Medical Political 16 17 Action Committee to not provide financial contributions or other 18 support to the campaign of any political candidate with a political 19 platform known to IMA to include support for enacting civil 20 penalties or criminalization for providing an evidence-based 21 medical practice. 22 23 The Committee received testimony evenly split between support and opposition to this 24 proposal to direct Idaho Medical Association Political Action Committee (IMPAC) 25 contributions away from office holders or candidates who vote in favor of or support 26 legislation to criminalize evidence-based medicine. Having equally split testimony 27 made it challenging for the Reference Committee to determine the clear-cut will of the 28 House of Delegates. 29 30 Those who testified in support of the resolution expressed concerns about the 31 appearance of IMA rewarding legislators who support legislation to criminalize medical 32 care, while at the same time advocating against such bills. Supporters of RES 107 33 spoke about the impact on physicians who fear being put in jail for treating patients with evidence-based medical care. Those in favor of the resolution stated their belief 34 35 that withholding IMPAC contributions is a way to send a message to legislators that 36 physicians strongly object to these dangerous policies. 37 38 Those who testified in opposition to RES 107 expressed concerns about how it could 39 tie the hands of the IMA by taking away discretion in allocating PAC contributions to legislators who are not aligned with IMA policies on issues such as abortion and 40 gender affirming care but are strong allies on other priorities such as GME, Medicaid, 41 42 scope of practice, telehealth and others. Representatives of the IMPAC board expressed their opposition to the harmful effects the resolution would have on the 43 44 IMA's advocacy efforts. The Committee heard testimony stating that the 45 implementation of the resolution would restrict IMPAC contributions in the 2024 46 election cycle to only one Republican in the House and five Republicans in the Senate. 47

1 2 3 4 5	The Committee concluded that the preponderance of the testimony in opposition to RES 107 outlining the negative consequences to the IMA's ability to advocate for changes to Idaho's abortion laws was compelling. Testimony in favor of the resolution did not present an equally compelling argument about how RES 107 is beneficial to the overall IMA mission.				
6 7 8 9 10	Reference Committee members clearly heard and agree that IMA should continue to strongly oppose criminalizing evidence-based medical care. However, the testimony demonstrated that IMPAC contributions are not the best tool to accomplish this.				
10 11 12	4.) RESOLUTION 108 (23) – CLIMATE CHANGE AND PUBLIC HEALTH				
13 14	RECOMMENDAT	ION:			
15 16	Mr. Speaker, your Reference Committee recommends that Resolution 108 (23) <u>be</u> adopted as originally written.				
17 18 19 20	RESOLVED,	Idaho Medical Association recognizes that climate change is a public health crisis that threatens the health and well-being of all Idahoans; and be it further			
21 22 23 24 25	RESOLVED,	Idaho Medical Association supports legislation that may help Idaho's healthcare sector reduce carbon emissions; and be it further			
26 27 28 29	RESOLVED,	Idaho Medical Association supports legislation that may help Idaho's healthcare sector build climate resilience or improve sustainability; and be it further			
30 31 32 33 34 35	RESOLVED,	Idaho Medical Association encourages its members to protect and build on public health infrastructure that improves community health and environmental well-being, which may help the state of Idaho respond more efficiently and effectively to the effects of climate change.			
36 37 38 39	The Committee received testimony strongly in support of the resolution, citing the impacts of climate change on public health, ranging from dangerous air quality resulting from wildfires to increasing exposure to intense heat.				
40 41 42 43 44 45	The Chair of the IMA Public Health Committee testified in support of RES 108 and noted this topic was among its priority issues for consideration. The Idaho Chapter of the American Academy of Pediatrics testified in support, citing increasing prevalence of children being hospitalized for conditions related to climate change. Supporters also noted the disproportionate impact on those who work outside or are socially or economically disadvantaged.				

46

1 2 3		ard a few comments in opposition to RES 108, questioning whether n the IMA's purview and suggesting smaller and more incremental			
4 5 6 7	However, based on the preponderance of testimony in support of the proposal, the Committee recommends adoption of RES 108.				
7 8 9	5.) RESOLUTION	109 (23) – MENTAL HEALTH HOLDS			
10 11	RECOMMENDATI	ON:			
12 13	Mr. Speaker, your Reference Committee recommends that Resolution 109 (23) <u>be</u> adopted as originally written.				
14 15 16 17 18 19 21 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22	RESOLVED,	 Idaho Medical Association supports and will advocate for legislation to amend the current statute on police and mental health holds to allow two agreeing physicians to drop the mental health hold if, after gathering additional information, the physicians determine that the patient does not meet criteria for the mental hold for reasons such as: Determination that the patient's altered mental status is caused by a non-psychiatric condition, including, but not limited to, developmental disorder, intoxication/withdrawal, dementia, delirium, or other medical conditions, or Resolution of perceived psychiatric crisis after altered mental status from intoxication resolves, or Determination that the patient psychiatric care plan that would maintain patient safety, or Determination that the patient does not have the baseline neurocognitive ability to participate in rehabilitative and meaningful inpatient psychiatric treatment (e.g., but not limited to, dementia, developmental delay, under guardianship); and be it further 			
	RESOLVED,	Idaho Medical Association supports and will advocate for legislation to create a new 'middle ground' safety hold that could be called a "Public Safety Hold". This would be utilized for patients who may have initially been on a police or mental hold, but whose clinical picture of altered mental status is determined as not due to psychiatric illness, but rather due to an underlying condition such as: Intoxication, delirium, dementia, developmental delay, or other condition transiently or permanently impairing their decisional capacity to plan a safe discharge (understanding these are incompatible indications for inpatient psychiatric care; however patient's mental status is altered and interferes with their ability to plan discharge safely). The Public Safety Hold would allow			

1		physicians to hold patients in the Emergency Department or main		
2 3	hospital until either:			
3 4		 The transient condition is resolved (e.g., no longer intoxicated, or delirious) and decisional capacity is restored, or 		
4 5		- A surrogate decision-maker can be identified in the event of		
6		more permanent neurological debility (dementia, other		
6 7		significant cognitively impairing neurological conditions).		
8		significant cognitively impairing neurological conditions).		
9	The Committee rea	ceived testimony strongly in support of the resolution to address the		
10		with mental health holds and introduce the concept of public safety		
11	holds to provide better care for those incapacitated by either a mental or physical			
12	health condition.			
13	noular condition.			
14	The Committee he	ard supportive testimony from the Idaho Psychiatric Association and		
15		of Emergency Physicians who described the difficulty in releasing		
16	patients from a mental health hold due to administrative procedures not available on			
17		kends. Others offered testimony support of the public safety hold		
18	concept from the perspective of those who care for elderly patients and those who			
19		ealth conditions that could impair their mental capacity.		
20				
21	Some delegates qu	uestioned the possibility of infringing on patients' individual		
22	freedoms with the	public safety hold, but the preponderance of testimony spoke to the		
23	increased ability to	care for patients in these situations and better use of resources.		
24	Therefore, the Con	nmittee recommends adoption of RES 109.		
25				
26	6.) RESOLUTION	110 (23) – BOARD OF MEDICINE OF COMPLAINT STATUS		
27				
28	RECOMMENDATI	ON:		
29				
30	•	Reference Committee recommends that Resolution 110 (23) <u>be</u>		
31 32	adopted as origina	ny written.		
32 33	RESOLVED,	Idaho Medical Association advocate for changes to Idaho Code		
33 34	NLOOLVLD,	that will allow Idaho Board of Medicine to disclose to the		
35		complaining party the general status of the Board's actions on a		
36		complaint that is not finally resolved or does not involve a formal		
37		action of the Board, while otherwise maintaining the confidentiality		
38		of the complaint and the status of the Board's actions.		
39				
40	The Committee rec	ceived testimony mostly in support of the RES 110 to allow limited		
41	disclosure by the Idaho Board of Medicine (BOM) about the status of complaints.			
42				
43	Those in support offered testimony about how in most circumstances the current BOM			
44	process doesn't all	low the party filiing a complaint against a physician to learn of the		
45	•	timate disposition of the complaint. Supporters of the resolution		
46	pointed out how this information would provide closure for patients, families and other			
47	entities who file co	mplaints.		
48				

1 2	One delegate questioned whether the increased availability of information about the status of complaints could increase a physician's exposure to liability lawsuits, but				
3	legal counsel clarified that the information is discoverable anyway and the resolution				
4	wouldn't increase	the likelihood of a physician getting sued.			
5	0				
6		pposition expressed concern about protecting the confidentiality of			
7		o are the subject of complaints. However, supporters testified that			
8	only narrow information about the status of the complaint would be disclosed to the complaining party, and not publicly available.				
9 10	complaining party,	and not publicly available.			
10	Civen the strong t	estimony in support of the proposal, the Committee recommends			
12	Given the strong testimony in support of the proposal, the Committee recommends RES 110 be adopted.				
13		leu.			
14	7.) CONSENT CA				
15	T.) CONCLINE OA				
16	RECOMMENDED	FOR ADOPTION:			
17					
18	RESOLUTIONS:				
19	RES 101	Relieving Prescription Refill Burden			
20	RES 102	Supporting Patient Access to Preventative and Emergency Dental			
21		Care Under Idaho Medicaid			
22	RES 103	Community-Based Camps Medical Volunteer Immunity			
23	RES 104	Essential Émergency Medical Services in Idaho			
24	RES 105	Raising Tobacco Taxes with the Inclusion of Electronic Products			
25		and Devices			
26	LATE RES 112	Restoring the Department of Health and Welfare's Ability to			
27		Distribute Naloxone to Community Organizations			
28					
29	RECOMMENDED	FOR FILING:			
30					
31	REPORTS:				
32	ADM I	Report of the President			
33	ADM II	Report of the President-Elect			
34	ADM III	Report of the Board of Trustees			
35	ADM V	Trustee Report of District One			
36	ADM VI	Trustee Report of District Two			
37	ADM VII	Trustee Report of District Three			
38	ADM VIII	Trustee Report of District Four			
39	ADM IX	Trustee Report of District Five			
40	ADM X	Trustee Report of District Six			
41	ADM XI	Trustee Report of District Seven			
42	ADM XII	Report of the AMA Delegation			
43					
44					
45	Mr. Speaker, your	Reference Committee wishes to remind the House of Delegates			
46	that Resolution 111 was withdrawn from consideration by the sponsor prior to the				
47	commencement o	f the HOD and was not considered.			
48					

- 1 Mr. Speaker, this concludes the Report of Reference Committee A. Your Reference
- 2 Committee wishes to thank all who participated in the hearing and contributed to the
- 3 preparation of this report.
- 4
- 5 Respectfully submitted,
- 6
- 7 Brandon Mickelsen, DO, Chair, Pocatello
- 8 Kim Cox, MD, Pocatello
- 9 Michael Dixon, MD, Kimberly
- 10 Megan Kasper, MD, Nampa
- 11 Kevin Wilson, DO, Meridian
- 12
- 13 October 2023